

MINUTES of the Meeting of the Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint Political Steering Group held at the War Memorial Civic Centre, Mary Street, Thames at 2.00 pm, Tuesday 15 February 2011

PRESENT:	Mayor Glenn Leach - Thames-Coromandel District Council Deputy Mayor Peter French - Thames-Coromandel District Council Betty Williams – Hauraki Whaanui Toko Renata - Hauraki Whaanui Councillor Simon Friar - Environment Waikato Councillor Paula Southgate – Environment Waikato Greg Martin - Conservator Waikato - Department of Conservation
ATTENDANCE:	Thames-Coromandel District Council Katherine Palmer (Strategic Policy Manager) Ruth Buckingham (Senior Strategic Planner) Peter Wishart (Strategic Relationship Manager) Pauline Carter (Minute Secretary) Andrew Mehrstens (Senior Media & Publications Advisor) Hauraki Whaanui Kaitakawaenga Mike Baker Honey Renata Environment Waikato Ursula Lehr Matt Vare Katie Mayes In attendance Tukumana Renata - Kaiawhina for Toko Renata

1.0	Apologies
Apologies were received from Alison Henry, Waikato Conservation Board, Department of Conservation	
Moved /Seconded Friar/Renata	
2.0	Minutes of the Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint Political Steering Group
Resolved	
That the Minutes of the Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint Political Steering Group meeting held on 28 September 2010 be received.	
Moved /Seconded Southgate/Williams	
3.0	Political Steering Group Induction
The report was deferred due to time constraints.	
4.0	Draft Local Area Blueprints
Resolved	
That the Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint Political Steering Group receives the report.	
<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Receive the report.2. The PSG notes it's intention to endorse the draft Local Area Blueprint and recommends to the project partners that they endorse the Local Area Blueprint subject to the amendments that have been suggested (refer Attachment A)	
Moved /Seconded Southgate/French	
5.0	Next Meeting
It was agreed that Political Steering Group representatives provide feedback to technical officers within one week (by 22 February), with a further four weeks provided for changes to be made to the draft LAB documents.	
PSG will meet again in six weeks to review the revised documents. Councillor Friar indicated that PSG representatives will require two weeks to review the draft documents prior to the next meeting.	
Meeting Closed 4.15 pm	

Attachment A

Points from PSG meeting 15 - 2 - 2011			
Proposer	Reference	Recommended change	Rationale <i>(Staff comments in italics)</i>
Simon Friar	All	Apply continuous Bates page numbering through all documents to provide easier access when referring to content	Currently difficult to find with similar page no's within each section and some page numbers are missing
Betty Williams	Section 4 Page 28	Provide an explanation to strengthen understanding of cultural heritage. Also Maori Land Tenure systems are not referenced, as they are in the Coromandel Community plan.	Cultural identity means more to Maori than what this indicates. Recommends it is outlined in the Coromandel community plan - connection to heaven, earth, sea etc.
Simon Friar	Section 5 Catchment maps	Change the text to normal font even though the maps are enlarged	Inconsistent with document text <i>RB. Explained this will be corrected in the final document design process before printing.</i>
Paula S	Section 4 Page 18	Remove the flow diagram	Adds no value and is confusing
Paula S	Section 4 Page 19	Remove the chart referring to Blueprint goals and strategies	Adds no value and is confusing
Paula S	Section 4	Remove Section 4 altogether or re-design as this section is confusing.	Section 4 is confusing and does not add value. Has been done by themes and not outcomes. <i>RB further analysis is required to assess whether these proposals are reflected as actions</i>
Simon Friar Betty Williams Peter French	Section 5	Re-assess proposed actions to ensure a clear reference is made in the LABs to proposals that arise from community plans	Stronger link to community plans requested
Greg Martin	Appendix 2	Clarify what being a lead partner means. Concerned with what it means for all partners, especially in terms of committing to actions where they are identified as the lead partner. Need to clarify understanding that agencies each have their own processes to prioritise projects and allocated	Uncertainty about what the Blueprint partners are committing to and how well it is represented in the document that further prioritisation and budgeting considerations will be applied

Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint Political Steering Group Minutes - 15 February 2011

		budgets before the actions can be committed for implementation.	Queried - Does this lock the agencies into achieving the action?
Greg Martin	Appendix 2	Clarify what it means where some actions have three 'lead partners'.	Asked who is the lead partner? Need to clarify role of lead partner (s)
Greg Martin	All	Check that the preamble at the beginning of each sections sets the scene	Improve readability